November 7, 2019

The Honorable Joseph M. Otting Comptroller Office of the Comptroller of the Currency 400 7th Street, SW Washington, DC 20219 **Delivered electronically**

Re: Threat that national banks could help predatory lenders charging 135% to 199% APR to evade new California law

Dear Comptroller Otting:

We, the undersigned consumer, civil rights, and community organizations, write with urgency to express our deep concern about predatory lenders' plans to expand high-cost rent-a-bank arrangements with the purpose of evading state interest rate caps – in particular the new California interest rate cap signed into law on October 11, effective January 1, 2020. At least three large lenders, which currently charge from 135% to 199% APR on high-cost installment loans that will be illegal under the new law, have already indicated their plans to start or expand rent-a-bank arrangements into California, with the clear intent to evade the new interest rate cap. **One of these lenders has said it is in discussions with OCC-supervised MetaBank**. We urge the agency to stop national banks from engaging in these shams before they start.

On October 10, 2019, California Governor Gavin Newsom signed into law AB 539, which targets longterm payday loans, limiting the interest rates on loans of \$2,500 to \$10,000 to 36% plus the federal funds rate, currently 2.5%. Before now, there has been no rate cap in California on loans over \$2,500.

Three publicly traded lenders making high-cost installment loans in California recently discussed with investors their plans to evade the new law even before it was enacted. These brazen declarations of their intentions make patently clear that the involved lenders would be forming these partnerships for the purpose of evading the law, and that the involved banks would be renting out their charters to willing bidders, enabling the lenders to do so. As the OCC has long held, these schemes are an abuse of their bank charters and put both consumers and the banks at risk.

Californians for Economic Justice, a diverse California-based coalition, expressed its concern about this development in a recent letter to the California Department of Business Oversight (attached).

CURO Group Holdings Corp. currently offers both short-term and long-term payday loans in California through its Speedy Cash brand. Its website gives an example of a \$2,600 installment loan at 134% APR and a \$5,000 loan at 131% APR.¹ Curo discussed plans to evade the California law, noting discussions with the national bank **MetaBank**, while praising the economics of the bank partnerships:

¹ <u>https://db4nnybic3xty.cloudfront.net/pdf/SRC/2018/california/store/california.pdf</u> (See "Installment Bank Line Loan Price Disclosure" at the bottom).

"In terms of regulation at the state level in California, we expect a new law ... [to make] our current installment products no longer viable "[W]e continue to talk to Meta[Bank] and we continue to talk to other banks about partnership opportunities" "I think we feel very good about being able to find products and partnerships that will serve our, the customer base in California that wants this longer, longer term, larger installment loan or possibly as a line of credit product And I think from a margin standpoint [] the bank partnerships are great. You have to sacrifice a little bit of the economics there because you have a, you have a bank partner there that's going to need a good rev share And I think ... with bank partnership opportunities [] we feel ... we've got a good, a really good opportunity to do that."²

As this statement shows, CURO expects to effectively purchase the bank's preemption rights – "to sacrifice a little bit of the economics there" to the bank. Meta or another bank would doing exactly what the OCC has said national banks may not do: treat their preemption rights like "a piece of disposable property that a bank may rent out to a third party that is not a national bank." ³ As the OCC has noted, such arrangements not only "constitute an abuse of the national charter, but they are highly conducive to the creation of safety and soundness problems at the bank, which may not have the capacity to manage effectively a multistate loan origination operation that is in reality the business of the payday lender.¹¹⁴

Two other high-cost lenders also noted plans to evade the California law through rent-a-bank schemes. Though not naming OCC-supervised banks, we are concerned that they or other predatory lenders may pursue such schemes with national banks as they look to evade the new law.

Elevate Credit, Inc. currently offers high-cost installment loans in California through its Rise brand at rates of 60% to 225% APR for a \$2,600 to \$5,000 loan.⁵ In other states, where that product would not be permitted by non-banks, Elevate currently uses FDIC-supervised **FinWise Bank** to originate its Rise loans at rates of 99-149% APR. Elevate also uses FDIC-supervised **Republic Bank** to originate Elastic, an openend line of credit with an effective APR of approximately 109%, in states where state law does not permit that rate by non-banks. In its July earnings call, Elevate discussed its plans to expand its Rise arrangement through a bank partner to evade the new California rate cap:

"[Q:] So what does [the new California law] mean for Elevate? . . . [A:] [W]e expect to be able to continue to serve California consumers via bank sponsors that are not subject to the same proposed state level rate limitations. . . . [W]e are confident that we can make that transition And the effective yield that we are looking at on the product would be very similar to what we have on the market today. So we think the impact would be minimal and this transition would be pretty seamless."⁶

"Realistically, we will probably use a new bank to originate as we transition into California for Rise. It will be [] probably different than FinWise. So that will add to the diversification."⁷

https://www.occ.treas.gov/news-issuances/news-releases/2002/nr-occ-2002-10.html. ⁴ Id.

² CURO Group Holdings Corp., Earnings Call, pp. 3, 7-8 (July 30, 2019) at SeekingAlpha.com.

³ Speech by Comptroller of the Currency John D. Hawke, Jr. (Feb. 12, 2002), excerpts available at

⁵ https://www.risecredit.com/how-online-loans-work#WhatItCosts (select California).

⁶ Elevate Credit Inc., Earnings Call, pp. 5-6 (July 29, 2019) at SeekingAlpha.com.

⁷ *Id.* at 6.

Enova International, Inc., currently has two long-term payday loan products in California. NetCredit offers loans of \$2,500 to \$10,000 at 34% to 155% APR.⁸ CashNetUSA offers, in addition to short-term payday loans, long-term payday loans in California at rates of 129% to 191% for a \$2,600 to \$3,500 loan.⁹ Enova, which has engaged in rent-a-bank shams in the past, also discussed plans to evade the California law, while touting how relatively little lenders must give up in margin to purchase the bank's preemption rights:

"[W]e will likely convert our near-prime product [NetCredit] to a bank-partner program, which will allow us to continue to operate in California at similar rates to what we charge today"¹⁰... "There's no reason why we wouldn't be able to replace our California business with a bank program."¹¹

When asked the following on the call: "Do you have a bank partner in place already? Just remind me, that will allow you to make higher rate loans that is, kind of, pass the product through their regulator?," the Enova spokesperson responded, "We do have a bank program. We do have a bank partner that does higher interest rate loans, and kind of, we'll have to do a couple of quick changes to our program with them to offer that in California, but we don't see any reason why we couldn't do that"¹² "In terms of the conversion to a bank program, we give up a couple about percentages -- a couple percent of margin to the bank partner, but other than that it's largely like-for-like."¹³

In addition, **Opploans**, which makes 160% APR long-term payday loans, already originates some loans in California through FDIC-supervised FinWise Bank and other loans directly through a California state license.¹⁴ Opploans offers loans in California from \$500 to \$4,000, and we assume that Opploans is using the rent-a-bank scheme to evade California's current rate caps on loans up to \$2,500 and that it will expand its California rent-a-bank loans to its larger loans once the new rate cap law takes effect.

These publicly disclosed rent-a-bank operations and expansions are most likely in addition to others that have not yet been revealed. Other state-regulated payday lenders that are not publicly traded may well be in talks to begin rent-a-bank schemes to evade the will of California's legislature.

Currently, we are not aware of any national banks engaged in rent-a-bank arrangements. We appreciate the OCC's recent statement that it "views unfavorably an entity that partners with a bank with the sole goal of evading a lower interest rate established under the law of the entity's licensing state(s)."¹⁵

MetaBank has a history of working with payday lenders and helping third parties offer predatory products and evade the law. MetaBank issues prepaid cards sold by ACE Cash Express and other payday

⁸ <u>https://www.netcredit.com/rates-and-terms/california</u>.

⁹ <u>https://www.cashnetusa.com/rates-and-terms.html</u>.

¹⁰ Enova International Inc., Earnings Call, p. 3 (July 25, 2019) at SeekingAlpha.com.

¹¹ *Id.* at 9.

¹² *Id.* at 9.

¹³ *Id.* at 10.

¹⁴ <u>https://www.opploans.com/licenses/</u>.

¹⁵ Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. OCC Bulletin 2018-14, *Installment Lending: core lending principles for short-term, small-dollar installment lending* (May 23, 2018), available: https://www.occ.gov/news-issuances/bulletins/2018/bulletin-2018-14.html.

lenders, and those payday lender prepaid cards were the only major prepaid cards with overdraft fees until new rules from the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau went into effect.¹⁶ And MetaBank now issues the "ACE Flare Account by MetaBank"—effectively a prepaid card sold by ACE and other payday lenders—which purports to be a bank account in order to evade the new prepaid rules and continue charging overdraft fees.¹⁷

MetaBank was also sanctioned in 2010, when under the supervision of the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), in connection with another prepaid card offered by a third party, iAdvance. The OTS shut down the line of credit offered on that prepaid card, finding that bank had engaged in unfair and deceptive practices in connection with it.¹⁸

In addition to the risks to consumers and the reputation risks to banks, banks that enable predatory lending should be aware that the legality of these arrangements are hotly contested. Courts have looked beyond the fine print of paperwork to find that the payday lender is the true lender¹⁹ or may not arrange a loan without complying with state licensing and rate limits²⁰ and have also held that state-regulated entities are subject to state interest rate caps when they take assignment of a loan.²¹ Banks that allow themselves to be used as fig leaves in rent-a-bank schemes with predatory lenders also expose themselves to a range of risks arising out of behavior by unscrupulous third parties that they are not closely supervising. While we support responsible and affordable bank small dollar loans, we will vigorously fight efforts by predatory lenders to shield themselves with a bank charter.

We strongly urge you to take immediate action to uphold the OCC's longstanding tradition of preserving the integrity of the national bank charter against predatory rent-a-bank shams.

To discuss our concerns further, please contact Lauren Saunders at the National Consumer Law Center, (202) 595-7845, <u>lsaunders@nclc.org</u>, or Rebecca Borné at the Center for Responsible Lending, (202) 349-1868, <u>rebecca.borne@responsiblelending.org</u>.

Yours truly,

¹⁶ See NCLC, Payday Lender Prepaid Cards: Overdraft and Junk Fees Hit Cash-Strapped Families Coming and Going (2015), <u>https://www.nclc.org/issues/payday-lender-prepaid-cards.html</u>.

¹⁷ See Press Release, National Consumer Law Center, "No Fooling! New Prepaid, Payroll, and Government Benefit Card Protections Take Effect April 1" (March 28, 2019), <u>https://www.nclc.org/uncategorized/no-fooling-new-prepaid-payroll-and-government-benefit-card-protections-take-effect-april-1.html</u>.

¹⁸ Form 8-K filed by Meta Financial Group, Inc. with the Securities and Exchange Commission, October 6, 2010, *available at* <u>http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/907471/000110465910052100/a10-19319_18k.htm</u>.

¹⁹ See, e.g., Final Order on Phase II of the Trial the State's Usury and Lending Claims, West Virginia v. CashCall, et al. (Kanawha Co. Cir. Ct. 2012) (Civil Action No. 08-C-1964) (finding that CashCall was the de facto lender and the bank was not the true lender).

²⁰ Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation v. CashCall, et al. (MD Ct. of Special App. 2015).

²¹ See, e.g., Madden v. Midland Funding, LLC, 786 F.3d 246 (2d Cir. 2015); Amicus Curiae Brief of Professor Adam J. Levitin in Support of Appellant, Rent-Rite Super Kegs West, Ltd. V. Word Business Lenders, LLC, No. 1:19-cv-01552-REB (D. Colo. Sept. 19, 2019), https://www.creditslips.org/files/levitin-amicus-brief-rent-rite-super-kegs-west-ltd-v-world-business-lenders-llc.pdf

AKPIRG

Alabama Appleseed Center for Law & Justice **Allied Progress** Americans for Financial Reform Education Fund Arkansans Against Abusive Payday Lending Arkansas Community Institute Arkansas Community Organizations Belmont Baptist Church, Columbia, SC Berkeley Law Consumer Advocacy and Protection Society California Low-Income Consumer Coalition California Reinvestment Coalition Center for Economic Integrity Center for Responsible Lending Community Economic Development Association of Michigan (CEDAM) Community Legal Services, Inc. of Philadelphia **Consumer Action Consumer Federation of America** Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety CoPIRG (Colorado Public Interest Research Group) East Bay Community Law Center **Empire Justice Center** Florida Alliance for Consumer Protection Housing and Economic Rights Advocates Illinois Asset Building Group Indiana Institute for Working Families Kentucky Equal Justice Center Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada Legal Aid Society of the District of Columbia Louisiana Budget Project Mission Asset Fund (MAF) Maine Center for Economic Policy Maryland Consumer Rights Coalition

Metrocrest Services

- Montana Organizing Project
- National Association of Consumer Advocates
- National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of its low income clients)
- National Consumers League
- National Fair Housing Alliance
- New Economy Project
- New Jersey Citizen Action
- North Carolina Justice Center
- **Public Justice Center**
- Public Law Center
- Reinvestment Partners
- South Carolina Appleseed Legal Justice Center
- South Carolina Christian Action Council, Inc.
- Statewide Poverty Action Network (WA)
- Tennessee Citizen Action
- Texas Appleseed
- The Bell Policy Center
- Tzedek DC
- U.S. PIRG
- United Way of Greater Houston
- United Way of Metropolitan Dallas
- Virginia Citizens Consumer Council
- Virginia Poverty Law Center
- Voices for Children (Omaha)
- West Virginia Center on Budget and Policy
- Wildfire (AZ)
- Woodstock Institute
- Attachment: Letter from Californians for Economic Justice to the California Department of Business Oversight dated October 25, 2019



October 25, 2019

Manuel P. Alvarez Commissioner California Department of Business Oversight 1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95814 *Delivered electronically*

Re: Stopping lenders' ability to use "rent-a-bank" schemes to evade AB 539

Dear Commissioner Alvarez:

On behalf of the Californians for Economic Justice Coalition - a diverse coalition of nonprofit community and faith-based organizations working to advance economic justice for all in California – we write to request a meeting with your office to discuss our concerns with lenders planning to evade California's recently established rate cap on loans from \$2,500 to \$10,000.

Understanding that products like payday loans, car-title loans, and high-cost installment loans at sky high interest rates are merely debt traps for borrowers, our coalition worked closely with legislators and lending industry representatives to reach a final compromise that would prohibit predatory lending and still allow companies to offer loans at competitive rates. This effort, spanning three years of deliberative and thoughtful conversations with a broad coalition of stakeholders, led to the final provisions of AB 539 (Limon), The Fair Access to Credit Act.

However, before Governor Newsom had a chance to sign our coalition's bill into law, at least three large lenders that currently charge between 135% and 199% APR on long-term loans,¹ brazenly informed their investors of their intent to use rent-a-bank schemes to evade the new rate cap.

Elevate Credit, for example, was explicit about its intent to evade the new law should it be enacted:

"As you know, in California a piece of legislation named AB539 continues to move ahead...So what does this mean for Elevate? ... [W]e expect to be able to continue to

¹ These three lenders are Elevate Credit, Inc., Enova International, Inc. and CURO Group Holdings Corp, each operating in California Rise Credit, CashNetUSA, and Speedy Cash, respectively.

serve California consumers via bank sponsors that are not subject to the same proposed state level rate limitations."²

Enova was equally blatant about its plan to continue offering loans at the same high rates as before, disregarding the legislature's clear determination that such rates are unacceptably harmful to California families:

"One potential change is a California bill that will cap interest rate at roughly 38% on personal loans between \$2,500 and \$10,000... [W]e will likely convert our near-prime product [NetCredit, priced at up to 155% APR] to a bank-partner program, which will allow us to continue to operate in California at similar rates to what we charge today.³

Likewise, Curo made its intended evasion explicit:

"In terms of regulation at the state level in California, we expect a new law to pass in September, capping the APR on [\$2500] installment loans at about 38.5%, making our current installment products no longer viable...[W]e continue to talk to Meta[bank] and we continue to talk to other banks about partnership opportunities... I think we feel very good about being able to find products and partnerships that will serve our, the customer base in California that wants this longer, longer term, larger installment loan or possibly as a line of credit product.⁴

These current licensees could not be more explicit about their intent to use rent-a-bank schemes for the express purpose of ignoring the clearly-stated policy of California. This is precisely what the Office of the Controller of the Currency (OCC) had in mind when it stated in official guidance:

"The OCC views unfavorably an entity that partners with a bank with the sole goal of evading a lower interest rate established under the law of the entity's licensing state(s)."⁵ This clear subterfuge cannot be tolerated.

State regulators in North Carolina and Colorado, among other states, have taken a stand to shut down rent-a-bank schemes for violating their state usury limits. Indeed, it would not be unprecedented for DBO to act similarly. In January of this year, Attorney General Becerra joined a fourteen-state coalition urging the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to include strong consumer protections for small dollar bank loans, maintaining that "state-chartered banks should be wary of entering into relationships with fringe lenders that are structured to evade state

² Elevate Credit Inc. earnings call pages 5-6, 10 (July 29, 2019) at SeekingAlpha.com

³ Enova International Inc., earnings call, pages 3, 9-10 (July 26, 2019) at SeekingAlpha.com

⁴ CURO Group Holdings Corp. earnings call, pages 3, 7-8 (August 2, 2019) at SeekingAlpha.com

⁵ Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. OCC Bulletin 2018-14, *Installment Lending: core lending principles for short-term, small-dollar installment lending* (May 23, 2018), available: https://www.occ.gov/news-

issuances/bulletins/2018/bulletin-2018-14.html.

rate caps." The coalition's letter included a recommendation that "the FDIC discourage banks from entering into [rent-a-bank] relationships in any guidance it issues on small dollar lending."⁶

As reported in recent articles, lenders participating in these schemes are simply engaging in subterfuge, using banks to evade state regulations.⁷ We urge your office to proactively take a stand and shut down these rent-a-bank schemes that are merely loopholes set up by lenders to avoid complying with state usury caps, like the one AB 539 creates.

As a coalition representing communities that have been impacted by the harms associated with predatory lending, we are deeply concerned by the bold statements of lenders planning on evading state law, with the intent of continuing to target economically vulnerable Californians. We strongly believe that DBO has the tools to prohibit these arrangements that deliberately circumvent state law and expect that your office will let licensees know that these schemes will not be tolerated. We respectfully ask for your time to discuss these plans and to hear from your office on your efforts to stop lenders from evading the rate cap.

To coordinate a meeting date, please contact Marisabel Torres, Director of California Policy at the Center for Responsible Lending, 510-379-5518 or <u>marisabel.torres@responsiblelending.org</u>.

Sincerely,

The Californians for Economic Justice Coalition

Cc: Governor Gavin Newsom Attorney General Xavier Becerra Assemblywoman Monique Limón

⁶ Maura Healy and Karl A. Racine, Re: Docket No. RIN 3064-ZA04 Request for Information on Small-Dollar Lending, (Jan. 22, 2019) available: https://oag.dc.gov/sites/default/files/2019-01/FDIC-Small-Dollar-Lending-Letter.pdf.

⁷ Tom Dresslar, CalMatters, *California has reformed consumer loan interest rates. But will lenders find loopholes?* (Oct. 16, 2019), available: https://calmatters.org/commentary/high-interest-loan/