The Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) is a revenue replacement program designed to sustain small business jobs during the ongoing public health and economic crisis. The effect of the crisis on small businesses has been profound—over half of small businesses said the crisis has had a large negative effect on their businesses and 74% of small businesses said they have had a decrease in operating revenues. Temporary closings have impacted 41.5% of small businesses, and 11.5% of small businesses said they had missed a loan payment since the beginning of the crisis. These impacts were far worse for some hardest hit sectors, including education, food service and accommodations and recreation.¹ To help address these hardships, 74.9% of small businesses with employees have requested financial assistance from the PPP and 38.1% have received assistance.² According to the Small Business Administration (SBA), as of May 12, 2020, the program has dispersed 4.3 million loans totaling nearly $534 billion.³

At the outset of the program and during the first round of funding from April 3 to April 16, 2020, it was clear that structural inequities were built-in to the administration of the program, the application process, and the fee structure. These structural inequities made it extremely difficult for small businesses—and particularly businesses owned by people of color—to qualify for assistance or receive it in time to save their businesses and the jobs of the employees that depend on them.

Executive Summary

- **The Paycheck Protection Program continues to be disadvantageous to smaller businesses, businesses owned by people of color, and businesses without employees.** PPP loans can be forgiven if the business is able to use the funds for eligible expenses within eight weeks of receiving the loan. This requirement makes it challenging, particularly for very small businesses, to ensure loans are forgiven rather than converted into long-term debt. The PPP fee structure also heavily incentivizes loans to larger firms that can garner higher fees. By distributing PPP funds through existing eligible SBA approved lenders, banks, and credit unions, the program ensures that businesses of color that have historically lacked access to credit are likely to face barriers in accessing critical PPP loans. Long delays in the distribution of funding and the prioritization of larger firms mean that small businesses and businesses owned by people of color had to wait to receive critical PPP loans to retain their employees and stabilize their businesses.

- **Small businesses of color were hardest hit by the structural limitations built into the PPP.** Businesses owned by people of color are likely to have fewer employees and less revenue than white-owned businesses. As a result, they were less likely to qualify for larger loans that would yield the higher fees that would make them a priority for lenders at the outset of the program. Businesses owned by people of color are even more likely to have no employees, putting them at an even greater disadvantage to larger businesses that could garner higher fees. Further, the program out-right excludes criminal legal system-involved business owners, including people who have been charged, but not tried or convicted of a crime.
The Paycheck Protection Program continues to be disadvantageous to smaller businesses, including the self-employed.

1. **The loan forgiveness requirements present substantial challenges to small businesses whose survival depends on PPP loan forgiveness rather than conversion to long-term debt.**

   The PPP documentation requirements necessary to ensure that loans are forgiven rather than converted to long-term debt are far more difficult for businesses too small to have a lawyer, accountant, or other compliance staff. Further, the requirement that eligible expenses be spent during the covered period eight weeks after the origination of the PPP loan. This requirement may pose serious challenges for businesses that were forced to scale back or close their doors entirely and are now trying to preserve resources for use when COVID-19 is under control.

2. **The PPP fee structure heavily discourages small loans to smaller businesses and, in particular, non-employer firms.** The first round of PPP funding was distributed quickly, with banks prioritizing larger businesses and businesses with existing financial relationships, putting businesses owned by people of color at a disadvantage. PPP loans are capped at 2.5 times the monthly payroll plus other non-payroll eligible expenses, and there is a limit on the salary amount used to calculate the payroll component of the PPP loans. Lenders earn an origination fee equal to 5% of the loan balance for loans under $350,000, 3% for loans between $350,000 and $2,000,000, and 1% for loans above $2,000,000. For a loan of $40,000, a lender would make just $2,000—creating a powerful incentive to make larger loans to larger firms. Under this formula, the $10 million loan given to Shake Shack and later returned, would have garnered $100,000 in fees. This fee structure put very small businesses and non-employer businesses at a distinct disadvantage.

3. **Access to PPP funding is heavily dependent on prior banking relationships** – To receive a PPP loan, applicants must apply at an eligible SBA approved lender, a bank, or a credit union. By requiring applicants to go through a lender, rather than directly applying to the PPP program, the program ensured that those businesses with existing credit relationships were more likely to access PPP funds at the outset of the program and in future rounds of funding.

   In the previous five years, 46% of white-owned businesses with employees accessed credit from a bank, and 6% accessed credit from a credit union. During that same time, just 23% of Black-owned employer firms accessed credit from a bank, and 8% from a credit union and 32% of Latino-owned employer firms accessed credit from a bank and 4% from a credit union. These disparities put them at a distinct disadvantage when accessing PPP funds through banks.

4. **Non-employer firms were unable to apply during the first week of the program. This delay may have caused irreparable harm to smaller businesses with no employees, which had to wait to receive PPP funds.** Non-employer businesses were forced to sit on the sidelines for days, while billions of dollars went to large and well-connected businesses. PPP rules prevented non-employer firms, such as the self-employed, from applying for loans until April 10th, one week after the program opened to other businesses. As of April 13, 2020, almost $250 billion of the initial $350 billion had already been allocated. The first round of PPP funding was depleted entirely by April 16, 2020.

5. **While second-round funding remains available and loan sizes have declined since the outset of the program, suggesting some improvement in efforts to reach smaller businesses, the negative impact on business' long-term prospects may be difficult to reverse.** At the end of the first round of funding on April 16, 2020, the average loan size was $206,000. Second round funding averaged $72,296 as of May 12, 2020. Smaller businesses that were pushed to the end of the line by larger and more connected businesses at the outset of the program, however, were forced to go weeks without critical support to keep their employees on payroll and their businesses afloat. While funding is still available in round two, the lost opportunity for prompt relief may have compounded financial hardship for many businesses and may increase the amount of assistance required to get them back on their feet.
Businesses of color were more likely than White-owned businesses to be disadvantaged by the structural limitations built into the PPP program.

1. **Businesses owned by people of color are likely to have fewer employees and less revenue, meaning they were less likely to garner higher fees that would make them attractive borrowers at the outset of the program.** Businesses headed by people of color are less likely to have employees, have fewer employees when they do, have less revenue, and have a smaller share of revenue compared to white-owned businesses. White-owned businesses are twice as likely to be employers and hire 50% more employees than businesses owned by people of color.¹⁰

2. **Businesses owned by people of color are even more likely to have no employees, putting them at a disadvantage to larger businesses that could garner higher fees.** Two third (63%) of non-employers rely on their businesses as their primary source of income, and 20% of non-employers started their businesses because they lacked other options.¹¹ Non-employer businesses are far more likely to be owned by people of color. Nearly 95% of Black-owned firms were non-employers, and 91% of Latino-owned firms were non-employers. In comparison, 78%, of white firms are non-employer businesses.¹² Of all small businesses, businesses without employees were the most likely to face challenges accessing PPP funds in the initial round.¹³ Along with other very small businesses with few employees, the PPP fee structure continues to ensure that these businesses may face challenges finding lenders willing to make small, but critical loans.

3. **Pre-existing disparities in access to capital have posed further disadvantages for business owners of color, both by making it less likely that they would have the commercial lending relationships necessary to access the PPP program and by discouraging many from applying. These challenges may have played a role in lenders’ application processing decisions.** A recent study by the National Community Reinvestment Coalition found steep reductions in SBA 7(A) lending to Black businesses between 2008 and 2016. That same study also found that Black and Hispanic testers when applying for loans were required to produce more documentation to support their loan application and received less information about fees, and less friendly service when visiting a small business lender.¹⁴ Additional research found that businesses owners of color are more likely than White business owners to feel discouraged from seeking loans.¹⁵

4. **The SBA failed to issue guidance to lenders about prioritizing borrowers in underserved and rural markets.** A report by the SBA Inspector General did not find any evidence that the SBA issued guidance to lenders to ensure that they prioritized underserved markets, including rural, minority, and women-owned businesses.¹⁶

5. **The SBA failed to require demographic data to determine if lenders prioritized underserved markets and businesses.** The SBA Inspector General noted that the SBA did not include the "optional standard demographic information for principals" on its PPP loan application form. As a result of this failure to collect data, the SBA Inspector General found that it was unlikely that the SBA would be able to determine whether funds reached underserved markets.¹⁷

6. **The program outright excludes people based on many forms of criminal legal system involvement, including people who have been charged, but not tried or convicted of a crime.** Given the myriad of barriers some system-involved individuals already face to employment, self-employment is a major source of income and opportunity, strengthening family incomes.¹⁸ This program, however, excludes business owners with past felony convictions, penalizing those who have already served their sentences and have returned to their communities for a fresh start. Additionally, employees of these business owners also suffer from this restriction to access of the PPP program, given that system-involved individuals are not eligible. Racial disparities in all aspects of the criminal justice system are well-documented, and there is some evidence that this provision in the PPP has already negatively impacted business owners of color, their employees, and their communities.¹⁹
Had PPP been designed to serve businesses of color equitably, businesses of color would be better positioned to participate in the recovery

1. There is some evidence that the structural barriers at the outset of the program and carried over to the second round resulted in either no access or delayed access to critical PPP funding. In a survey conducted by Goldman Sachs of more than 1,700 small business owners, Black-owned firms were less likely to apply for and less likely to be approved for PPP during the first round of funding. While 91% of all firms in the survey applied for funding, just 79% of Black-owned firms applied. Just 40% of black-owned firms were approved for funding, while 52% of total firms were approved. Black-owned firms were more likely to indicate that their finances had been hurt and that they had less than one month's cash reserves on hand. Even these disparities in application and approval are likely understated. The study was based on a convenience sample of firms participating in the Goldman Sachs 10,000 Small Businesses Program, suggesting these firms may have advantages and connections not readily available to most small businesses.

2. Delay in application submissions for self-employed postponed relief that may have exacerbated financial hardship for some firms, causing them to incur fines or late fees, or other compounding financial difficulties. Ensuring these firms were fully supported at the outside of the crisis should have been a top priority. Among non-employers, those firms with no employees besides the owner, 71% have plans to expand, and one in four (24%) plan to hire employees in the next 12 months. Barring them from funds at the outset of the program may have imposed additional long-term challenges to economic growth, future hiring, and entrepreneurship opportunities for some firms. These harms should be taken into account in assessing the extent of necessary further relief.

---

1 “Small Business Pulse Survey - April 26 to May 2,” May 14, 2020. https://portal.census.gov/pulse/data/#data. The survey target population was all nonfarm, single nonfarm, single-location employer businesses with between 1-499 employees and receipts of $1,000 or more in the 50 states, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. Some industries were excluded, a complete list is provided in the survey methodology available at https://portal.census.gov/pulse/data/#methodology


The availability of Pandemic Unemployment Assistance does not solve the problem of lack of access to the PPP program, for many small businesses. While the PUA gives states the option to offer expanded unemployment assistance to self-employed business owners, it should not be considered a substitute for the critical lifeline provided to businesses through the PPP program, which replaces considerably more lost income.

Research also finds that minority business owners are more likely to feel discouraged from seeking private loans. In a Census survey, only 16% of nonminorities felt discouraged from seeking a loan, while almost 30% of minorities felt the same way. These, in combination with other reasons, may be why minority business owners have a heavier reliance on personal finances. (citing Christine Kymn, January 2014, “Access to Capital for Women- and Minority-owned Businesses: Revisiting Key Variables.” U.S. Small Business Administration, Office of Advocacy, available at https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/Issue%20Brief%20Access%20to%20Capital.pdf)

The program excludes businesses for which "An owner of 20 percent or more of the equity of the applicant is incarcerated, on probation, on parole; presently subject to an indictment, criminal information, arraignment, or other means by which formal criminal charges are brought in any jurisdiction; or has been convicted of a felony within the last five years; has been convicted of a felony within the last five years." SBA Business Loan Program Temporary Changes; Paycheck Protection Program, Interim Final Rule, 85 FR 20811, https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/04/15/2020-07672/business-loan-program-temporary-changes-paycheck-protection-program.

