January 2, 2013

The Honorable Ben S. Bernanke
Chairman
Board of Governors, Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20551

The Honorable Thomas J. Curry
Comptroller
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
250 E Street, SW
Mail Stop 2-3
Washington, DC 20219

The Honorable Martin Gruenberg
Chairman
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
1776 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Dear Chairman Bernanke, Comptroller Curry, and Chairman Gruenberg:

We write to urge you to take immediate steps to stop the financial institutions that your agencies supervise from engaging in payday lending – an unsafe and unsound practice – before it becomes an even larger problem in the states we represent.

Your agencies have a long history of appropriately prohibiting their supervisee banks from partnering with non-bank payday lenders to facilitate evasion of state laws restricting payday loans. But several of your largest supervisees are currently making payday loans directly to their own customers.

We applaud the FDIC for its recent statement that the agency is “deeply concerned” about payday lending by banks, is investigating, and is considering further steps.

We also applaud the OCC’s recent statements before the House of Representatives. The agency noted that payday lending is “unsafe and unsound and unfair to consumers” and that the profitability of payday loans “is dependent on effectively trapping consumers in a cycle of repeat credit transactions, high fees, and unsustainable debt.” The agency further noted the importance of the protections that the Military Lending Act provides members of the military and their dependents by “restricting the cost and terms of . . . abusive credit products.”

The banks call these loans deposit “advance” loans, but they are structured just like loans from payday loan storefronts, carrying a high cost (averaging 365% in annualized interest) combined with a short-term balloon repayment (averaging just 10 days). Indeed, in their own advisory letters addressing payday lending, the OCC and FDIC both note that “payday loans” are “also known as ‘deferred deposit advances.’”
For customers with direct deposit of wages or public benefits, the banks will advance the pay in increments for a fee, ranging from $7.50 to $10 per $100 borrowed. The bank deposits the loan amount directly into the customer’s account and then repays itself the loan amount, plus the fee, directly from the customer’s next incoming direct deposit. If direct deposits are not sufficient to repay the loan within 35 days, the bank repays itself anyway, even if the repayment leads to the consumer’s account being overdrawn, triggering more costs through overdraft fees.

Like non-bank payday borrowers, bank payday borrowers routinely find themselves unable to repay the loan in full while meeting their expenses the next month without taking out another payday loan. On average, bank payday borrowers are stuck in this debt cycle for 175 days per year. The typical borrower takes out 16 bank payday loans within twelve months, with many borrowers taking out 20 or even 30 or more loans within one year.8

The OCC’s June 2011 proposed guidance addressing bank payday lending identifies safety and soundness concerns with these loans, noting operational, reputational, compliance, and credit risks. It expresses concern about the cycle of debt the product generates. But the proposed guidance, as applied, would not effect a change in the fundamental structure of the product that creates the cycle of debt: high-cost combined with short-term balloon repayment. Rather, signals from the financial industry indicate banks would view this guidance as a green light to proceed with widespread payday lending.9 We urge the OCC to withdraw this proposed guidance.

The OCC has now acknowledged that payday loans are unsafe and unsound. The data now show these “advance” loans are not only structured like payday loans, but they also create the same cycle of debt. The FDIC’s concern with payday lending has long been clear,10 and the Board has also long highlighted safety and soundness concerns associated with high cost lending that leads to frequent renewals.11 Indeed, bank payday loans increase the ranks of the unbanked by making checking accounts unsafe for vulnerable consumers,12 a result clearly inconsistent with a safe and sound banking system. And payday lending poses serious reputational risks to any financial institution engaging in it.

As the agencies responsible for the safety and soundness of the financial institutions you supervise, you are compelled to stop them from making payday loans and to prevent additional banks from beginning to do so. We urge you to take meaningful regulatory action that ensures that no bank, regardless of its prudential regulator, structures loans in a way that traps its customers in a cycle of high cost debt. Our states’ residents, and consumers everywhere, deserve better from our nation’s financial institutions.

We appreciate your consideration of our concerns and would be happy to discuss them further.

Sincerely,

Richard Blumenthal
United States Senate

Richard J. Durbin
United States Senate
cc: The Honorable Richard Cordray
    Director
    Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
    1800 G Street NW
    Washington, DC 20522
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Further, Fiserv, Inc., a provider of software systems to the financial industry, has developed a bank payday software product it calls “Relationship Advance.” Fiserv is reporting significant interest in this product: “The pipeline is extremely strong. We’ve had some very nice mid-tier signings over the last three, four months and we see this as an interesting driver of … high-quality recurring revenue . . . .” Fiserv Investor Conference, Oct. 11, 2011.

